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Introduction

The Scottish Contemporary Art Network (SCAN) was commissioned to undertake the mapping phase as part of Creative Scotland's Visual Arts Sector Review. As part of this mapping work two surveys have been undertaken to capture the experiences of people working in the visual arts in Scotland as well as key indicators about how the sector functions and inter-relates. The purpose of the work is to provide an overview of the people, places, resources and relationships that make up the sector; to identify strengths, opportunities and challenges; and to inform a process of consultation about future priorities and ways of working.
Mapping the visual arts sector

A survey for Individuals was created to capture insights from people working across the sector – both independent workers and those employed in venues and institutions – about their work, their income and what matters most to them in developing their work in Scotland.

A separate survey for Organisations was designed to capture the scope, focus and range of experiences of visual arts organisations operating in Scotland. It encompasses organisations of all scales and types, from artist-led spaces and collectives to publicly funded venues and higher education institutions.

Both surveys were accessible online for one month from 19 September-19 October 2015, with assistance available for anyone with support needed to complete the survey. Both surveys offered anonymity to the respondents and no individuals or organisations have been identified in the reporting.

This report presents findings relating to Individuals working in the visual arts in Scotland.

Insights on the work and experiences of Scottish visual arts organisations are reported separately.

The online surveys were disseminated through the sector by SCAN with support from other key networks for the visual arts in Scotland: engage Scotland, WASPS and the Scottish Artists Union. Creative Scotland also promoted the surveys through its networks. In addition the surveys were directly emailed to organisations known across the sector to enable staff to complete the Individuals survey as individuals working in the sector.

This report offers initial analysis of the dataset which is rich in detail and may be separated out into discreet data strands to enable deeper insights into the specificities of different parts of the sector. The scale of the dataset, together with the range of ‘identifiers’ built into the survey, offers the potential for further analysis. In addition the surveys have collected substantial qualitative data with significant scope for content analysis beyond the capacity of this report.

The surveys have been designed to enable benchmarking alongside other current datasets, specifically:

- The Scottish Artist Union’s Annual Membership Survey
- Sector surveys undertaken by Culture Sparks in 2012
- Creative & Cultural Skills Visual Arts Blueprint 2009
Whose experiences are we reporting?

A total of 680 people working across the visual arts sector responded to the survey for Individuals. They represent:

- Visual arts professionals across the country
- People working in diverse roles and settings across a spectrum of disciplines
- Self-employed and employed people
- Perspectives from various career stages.

For the purposes of this report, we have isolated data strands specific to groups within the sector, in order to rationalise findings and contextualise them through the following important lenses:

- People making art, self-identifying as Artists
- People working with art, as Gallerists, Curators, Producers and Directors
- People working in Self-Employment
- People who have worked in the sector for 0–5 years, considered for the purposes of this analysis as ‘early career’
- People who have worked in the sector for 6–15 years, considered ‘mid-career’
- People who have worked in the sector for more than 16 years, considered for the purposes of this reporting as ‘advanced career’
How our sample relates to existing benchmarks

In order to contextualise the dataset used for this report, it is prudent to position it against existing benchmarks for the visual arts sector. In this way we can identify any ways in which this dataset may be skewed and highlight possible caveats to the findings.

Proportions of Artist and Arts Education respondents

Creative & Cultural Skills, in their published statistics for 2012–13, report visual arts employment in the UK across three categories: Artists, Art Retail and Visual Arts Education. According to their data, 89% of the UK-wide visual arts sector comprises artists.1 The dataset from the survey reported here reflects a lower proportion of artists, with 72% of the 636 people who defined their role(s) in the visual arts self-identifying as an ‘Artist’.

According to the same UK-wide statistics for 2012-13, Art Retail accounts for 8% of the visual arts sector, and Visual Arts Education makes up 4%.2 The respondents for this survey include 56 people working as ‘gallerists’ who comprise 8% of the sample. A total of 143 respondents (22%) cite ‘workshop facilitator or tutor’ as part of their role and 48 (7%) identify a role as a ‘learning and engagement specialist’. The sample for this survey therefore comprises a greater proportion of people engaged in forms of Visual Arts Education than is representative of the sector as it is currently understood.

Age range of respondents

Based on age data provided by 465 of the respondents in this survey, the age range of the sample may be charted below alongside current UK-wide statistics. The age of the workforce reflected in this survey seems slightly skewed towards people in the 40–49 and 50–59 age categories, who make up a larger proportion of this sample than in the representative sector population. People under the age of 30 make up 16% of the respondents for this survey, though they comprised 12% of the visual arts workforce in 2013–14.

Figure 1: Age range of people working in the sector (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Under 25</th>
<th>25-29</th>
<th>30-39</th>
<th>40-49</th>
<th>50-59</th>
<th>60+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Arts in UK (2012-13)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents in this survey (n465)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Visual Arts Statistics 2012-13 Creative & Cultural Skills
2Visual Arts Statistics 2012-13 Creative & Cultural Skills
Introduction

Gender

As may be seen in the Table below, the gender balance in the sample generated by this survey is weighted more heavily towards females working in the sector than is representative in UK statistics.

Figure 2: Gender balance in the sector

Employment patterns

Existing evidence from the sector suggests that the majority of people working in the visual arts in the UK do so in a freelance capacity; just over three-quarters compared to one quarter who are employees in the sector.

This survey reflects this, with 61% of respondents stating that they are self-employed, compared to one third (31%) of respondents who have either a permanent or fixed-term employed position in the sector. (The remainder of the sample are either ‘not employed’ or ‘retired’).

The slightly higher proportion of employed people in this survey is likely to be because the survey was disseminated through organisations as well as through artist membership networks.

Representation of the Scottish sector

The Creative & Cultural Skills 2009 Visual Arts Blueprint estimated the number of artists in the UK at just under 37,500. That report stated that approximately 7% of the workforce was based in Scotland. This extrapolates to a Scottish workforce of just over 2,600 people working in the visual arts sector in 2009.

The Creative & Cultural Skills 2013–14 statistics record 48,420 people working the visual arts industry in the UK. On the assumption that the Scottish sector still represented 7% of that figure, the workforce in Scotland would be just under 3,400.

If these figures are accurate then the 680 respondents in this survey may be considered to represent 20%–25% of an estimated Scottish workforce numbering between 2,600 and 3,400 people.

Ethnic Diversity

The ethnic diversity of the sample generated by this survey is slightly lower compared with how the visual arts sector is represented across the UK. 2% stated they are from a minority Ethnic background compared with 5% in the Visual Arts UK report. 4% of respondents to this survey preferred not to specify their ethnic background, with 2% stating ‘Other’.

---

2 Visual Arts Statistics 2012–13 Creative & Cultural Skills. The Creative & Cultural Skills studies define the visual arts sector as including individual artists, publicly-funded institutions, commercial galleries, studios, trade associations, art fairs and a range of employees, consultants, freelancers and volunteers. These parameters are consistent with the sample targeted for this survey.
Headline Findings
Roles in the visual arts

Of the 680 people who answered this survey:

- 72% have an Artistic role as part of their practice
- 24% undertake a Curatorial role
- 22% work as Workshop Facilitators or Tutors
- 19% are Academics or Lecturers
- 12% are Writers
- 12% are Producers
- And 11% have a role as a Volunteer

A majority of respondents also have parallel roles across the sector. For people working as artists the most common are Workshop Facilitators (29% of people who identified as artists), Academics or Lecturers (20%), Curators (15%), Writers (11%) and Community Workers (10%).

How people work

A significant proportion (61%) of respondents work in a freelance/self-employed capacity, a quarter of them also doing part-time jobs in organisations. This suggests that many of the 31% of respondents with roles in arts organisations are doing so part-time within a portfolio of visual arts work.

Of the 439 respondents who need a designated studio or workspace, the majority (63%) work from home, with 42% elsewhere and 12% making their work in situ.

Nature of unpaid work

Not all of the work contributed to Scotland’s visual arts sector provides an income for the practitioner. In terms of working hours contributed to the sector, on average the respondents spend up to 35 hours per week, of which as much as 75% is likely (for 45% of artists) to be unpaid or voluntary.

The nature of unpaid work centres on visual arts practice (89%), although other significant activities include independent curatorial projects (25%) and art writing and blogging (25%).

Contexts and settings for work

The respondents in the survey work in a range of contexts and settings. The most common contexts identified in this survey are:

- Publicly-funded Galleries (49% of respondents)
- Artist-led spaces (44%)
- and Arts and Heritage Organisations (38%).

Festivals, commercial galleries and studio/workshop provision are also significant (each around 30% of the sample).
Earning levels
Overall, across all respondent groups, a large proportion (31% of respondents) earned less than £5,000 in their ‘best’ year of the last five years. For 72% of respondents their maximum earnings during the last five years came in at less than £20,000, with only 11% earning over £30,000.

The average (mean) total income across all sources of work, for the whole respondent sample is £17,256 per annum.

- For self-employed respondents the average dips to £14,933
- For people within the first five years of their career, the average is £13,125
- This rises to an average of £17,252 across respondents who have worked in the sector for 5-15 years
- The average total income is the highest for people with 15 years or more experience in the sector at £20,930 per annum.

Self-employed respondents (87% of whom are artists and 30% workshop facilitators) tend to earn less than the overall average, with the majority (80%) earning less than £20,000 in their ‘best’ year, half earning less than £10,000 and just 31% earning less than £5,000 as their maximum total annual income.

For self-employed respondents:
- the visual arts is the main source of income for half (54%) of them
- 63% work up to or more than 35 hours per week in the visual arts
- 64% earned a minimum of less than £5000 during the last five years
- And for 84% their minimum income was less than £10,000
- Only 5% earned above £20,000 as their minimum income.

Supplementary income
A total of 42% respondents said that they supplement their visual arts income with outside sources.

More than half work additional jobs for 14 hours per week or less, representing up to two days a week or 0.4FTE outside the sector. However almost a fifth work full-time in supplementary jobs (up to 35 hours per week, or more), and a third work 4 days a week or more.

It is noted that a number of people reported relying on spouses’ income (11 respondents) or family support (6 respondents), as well as personal savings and inheritance (2 respondents) to support their practice in the sector and offset their low incomes.

Reliance on visual arts income
45% of respondents state that their entire income comes from their visual arts work.
For another 9% up to 95% of their total income comes from visual arts. This means that more than half of our respondents gain almost all their income from visual arts work.

Salaried work stands out as the most important source of income for the most respondents (85%), with an overall rating attributed of 1.54 (where 1 signifies the most important). Other common sources of income ranked of high importance are Sales of Artwork, Fees and Commissions.
Operating expenses, deficit and financial vulnerability

Respondents were asked about their operating costs and expenses, including materials, premises, etc. A total of 42% of respondents expend £1,000-£5,000 each year as part of their work or practice. Another fifth (19%) pay out between £5,000 and £10,000 annually. These sums reduce net income from already low earnings across the sector.

The majority (72%) of respondents are not in receipt of any welfare benefits. Working Tax Credit and Child Tax Credit are the most common benefits accessed by the respondents in this survey, cited by 15% and 12% respectively.

A total of 42% respondents have further pension provision arrangements in place beyond expectations of a statutory state pension.

Access to Public funding

40% of respondents to this survey have not applied for public funds in the last five years. Just under a fifth more (19%) have only applied once for public funding in this period. A small minority reports regularly and routinely applying for public funding, with 4% applying up to 10 times in five years and 3% more than 10 times. This suggests that the majority of people working in the visual arts sector are not reliant on making regular grant application public funding is considered most valuable for:

Making or Producing Artwork (selected by 61% of the respondents)

Research and Development (49%)

Exhibitions of Artwork (45%) and Exhibition Making/ Curatorial Projects (39%)

Residency is another important form of support (45%), along with International Work (42%), Training and Professional Development is also seen as reliant on public funding (36%).

Development support for the sector

Respondents have undertaken a range of professional development activities in the last five years, the most accessed being collaborative work (65%), Research (63%), Residencies (43%), Skills Sharing (43%), Peer to Peer Mentoring (40%) and Technical/ Creative Skills Training (40%).

The majority of this professional development activity in the sector is self-funded.

In terms of what is most useful at the present point in their career, overall respondents identified Residencies (45% of responses); Research (42%); Collaborative Work (36%); Peer to Peer Mentoring (32%); Technical and Creative Skills Training (26%) and Business Support (20%).

Residencies are particularly valued by respondents who are less than five years into their career. Peer to Peer Mentoring also stands out as a priority activity for this group, favoured by a larger proportion of early and mid-career respondents than those more advanced in their careers.

Reach and impact

More than half of the 515 people who answered this question have a reach and impact beyond Scotland, either UK-wide (25%) or internationally (30%).

Around a fifth of the respondents describe their work as having a Scotland-wide impact. The remaining quarter identify their work at a more localised level, as having regional impact (11%) or local impact (14%).

Respondents listed more than 50 countries that they regularly work in across the globe, with the most commonly cited being USA, Canada, Germany, France and Italy.
Priority and specific audiences
The top five priority and specific audiences engaged by and ranked by respondents are:

1. Peers/Other Artists/Arts Professionals
2. Local / Geographic Communities
3. International Audiences
4. Buyers
5. Collectors

Distribution channels for visual arts work
Exhibitions and Gallery Talks emerge as a significant channel for the majority of respondents. Online platforms rank second in importance, followed by Festivals, Workshops, and Commercial Galleries all of which are relevant to around a third of the respondent group.

Most effective means of public engagement
The most effective way for engaging the public identified by respondents is Through Exhibitions and Artwork (including screenings and performances), selected by 83%. Other significant forms of engagement occur Online and through Workshops/ Talks/ Activity programmes.

Most important online platforms
In order of precedence, the top 5 most effective social media and online platforms used by respondents are:
- Respondents’ own websites
- Respondents’ own Facebook profiles
- Venue or agency-controlled Facebook
- Twitter
- Instagram.

Partnership and collaboration
The majority of respondents (56%) rate working in partnership and collaboration as very or extremely important in their visual arts work. On a scale where 5 is highest and 1 is lowest, the overall score averaged across all respondents is 3.58.

In total 17% stated that partnership or collaborative working is of no or limited importance in their work.

Most important connections, organisations and services
Respondents were asked who they work with most often and what services and facilities they most rely on for their visual arts work, to give a sense of how the sector interconnects.

...For Artists:
The most important people that Artists work with are (in order of importance):

1. (other) Artists
2. Curators
3. Gallerists
4. Academics or Lecturers
5. Workshop Facilitators or Tutors
6. Technicians

The most important organisations and services for Artists are:

1. Artist-led spaces
2. Publicly-funded galleries
3. Commercial galleries
4. Residency centres
5. Festivals
6. Studio/Workshop providers
7. Third sector/community focussed organisations
...For Curators, Gallerists, Producers and Directors:
The most important people that Curators, Gallerists, Producers and Directors work with are:

1. **Artists**
2-4. (other) **Curators, then Producers, then Gallerists**
5. **Technicians**
6. **Learning and Engagement Specialists**
7. **Directors or CEOs**
8. **Local Authority officers**

The most important organisations and services for Curators, Gallerists, Producers and Directors are:

1. **Artist-led spaces**
2. **Publicly funded galleries**
3. **Festivals**
4. **Arts & Heritage organisations**
5. **Residency Centres**
6. **Commercial galleries**

...For Workshop Facilitators or Tutors, and Learning and Engagement Specialists:
The most important people that Workshop Facilitators or Tutors, and Learning and Engagement Specialists work with are:

1. **Artists**
2. **Curators**
3-4. (Other) **Learning and Engagement Specialists then Workshop Facilitators or Tutors**
5. **Gallerists**
6. **Producers**
7. **Local Authority Officers**

The most important organisations and services for Workshop Facilitators or Tutors, and Learning and Engagement Specialists are:

1. **Artist-led spaces**
2. **Publicly funded galleries**
3. **Festivals**
4. **Arts & Heritage organisations**
5. **Residency Centres**
6. **Commercial Galleries**
7. **Third Sector/Community focussed organisations,**
8. **Festivals**
9. **Studios/Workshop Providers**
...Common themes
Artists and curators are the most important for all three groups, closely followed by Gallerists.

Connections are most important to artists with all types of connection ranked higher for this group rather than the other groups in the survey.

Effective ways of staying connected
The most effective means of finding out what is happening in the sector and sharing good practice in respondents’ fields are:

In order of effectiveness

Online (cited by 78% of respondents)

Informal peer to peer communication (74%)

Gallery or public venue events (61%)

Engagement with networks and membership organisations
People working in the sector engage strongly with networks and membership organisations. Among the 482 who responded on this issue, the majority of respondents (87%) engage at least annually with formal networks or membership organisations. Of these, 9% of respondents engage weekly and the same proportion again engages on a monthly basis. Almost half of respondents (48%) engage several times a year.

Respondents engage far more frequently with their informal networks. A third of respondents (33%) engage weekly with their informal networks, and another 18% engage monthly. Some 38% of respondents connect several times a year with informal networks, with a minority engaging once a year (3%) or never (8%).
1. Breadth of Roles and Settings in the Sector
Diverse roles within the visual arts sector

The survey reflects the diverse roles undertaken by people working in the sector as shown in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3: Role/s occupied by respondents within the visual arts (more than one may be selected) (n636)

The majority of respondents (458 people representing 72% of the sample) occupy a role as a practicing artist. Those respondents identifying as artists also undertake a number of parallel roles across the spectrum listed above, predominantly as Workshop Facilitators (28% of the Artists), Academics or Lecturers (20%), Curators (15%), Writers (11%) and Community Workers (10% of Artists).
Artform specialisms

The respondents in the survey were asked to identify from a list any areas of specialism that may apply to their work. A large number of respondents answered this question (n=663) and demonstrate a consistent spread across a range of disciplines as can be seen below. Twelve percent stated that they work across all media listed.

Figure 4: Areas of specialism applying to respondents’ work (more than one could be selected) (n=663)

- Installation
- Painting
- Drawing
- Sculpture
- Artists’ Film & Video
- Photography
- Public Art
- Printmaking
- Other (please specify)
- Performance
- Art Writing
- New Media
- Sound Art
- All
- None

‘Other’ specialisms cited included work with Glass, Metal, Ceramics, Street and Outdoor Arts, and Art Writing.
2. Working Patterns in the Sector
Employment status
A total of 61% of the respondents in this survey work in a freelance or independent capacity. This is consistent with other data about the sector. 24% have a permanent paid role in an arts organisation, and a further 7% work on a fixed term contract. Other significant employment conditions highlighted by respondents included ‘Zero Hours Contracts’ in the context of teaching posts with further and higher education organisations.

Figure 5: How respondents describe their employment status in the visual arts (n629)

Use of studio space
We wanted to know how heavily people producing work rely on production facilities to produce their work. Of the 439 respondents\(^4\) who need a designated studio or workspace, the majority (56%) only selected work from home, 9% selected work from home or elsewhere and 35% have a studio/ workshop elsewhere.

Figure 6: Use of studio space

\(^4\) Taking out of the equation the 26% of 593 people who answered this question as ‘not applicable’
Work undertaken in the sector
Not all of the visual arts related work provides an income for the practitioner. A full 15% of the respondents on this issue (n616) report that their visual arts work provides no income. For those earning income from their visual arts work (n529), 63% state that it is their main source of income. The remaining 37% consider their visual arts work to be their secondary income source.

Working hours contributed to the sector
In terms of working hours contributed to the sector, on average the respondents spend 28 to 35 hours per week, of which as much as 75% is likely (for 45% of artists) to be unpaid or voluntary.

Artists Working hours
60% of the artists who answered this question (n423) committed more than 28 hours per week in the visual arts. 174 respondents (or 41%) stated they work more than the FTE of 35 hours, reflecting the fact that they perform multiple roles in the sector.

Nature and scale of unpaid work in the sector
This survey reveals a large unpaid contribution to the sector: 35% of the people who responded estimated that over half of the work they do in the visual arts is unpaid or undertaken on a voluntary basis (Fig 8).

Filtering results solely from those respondents who classify their role as a practicing artist, this figure rises to 45% (Fig 8).
When specifying the roles they perform in their visual arts work, 11% of respondents (71 people out of 636) listed ‘Volunteer’ formally as one of their roles. However, of the 573 respondents who specified the nature of their unpaid work, 136 cited ‘volunteer as a committee or artist-led group member’ (24% of that sample) and 54 ticked ‘volunteer staff within an arts organisation’ (9%).

This suggests that perceptions of voluntary work in the sector differ from notions of formal volunteering. It also shows that a significant proportion of people working in the sector give their time generously to support the sector.

Volunteering

In additional comments respondents highlighted: working beyond contract hours on projects and posts; time spent making applications or doing research for projects; preparation, planning and ideas development; and unpaid collaborations.
“I work close to 70 hours/week as a self-employed person on my own practice, which, due to poor sales, is currently ‘unpaid’.”

“I spend more hours on my lecturing post than the hours I am paid for.”

“I often find myself doing unpaid prep for participatory/community/education projects.”
3. Income Levels in the Visual Arts Sector
Maximum and minimum earnings levels from visual arts work

Maximum earnings reported by respondents achieved in the last five years span a range of income bands, though the largest proportion (31% of respondents) earned less than £5,000 in their ‘best’ year. A total of 72% of respondents’ maximum earnings came in at less than £20,000, with only 11% earning over £30,000 across all roles in the sector.

For self-employed respondents (n=333) the maximum earnings are lower, with the majority 80% earning less than £20,000 in their ‘best’ year, 55% earning less than £10,000 and just under a third (31%) earning less than £5,000 maximum earnings.

Figure 10: Over the last 5 years what is the maximum level of income that you’ve made in a tax year (before tax) from your visual arts work alone? (%)

As for minimum levels of income, 59% of the respondents across the sector reported earning less than £5,000 in their leanest year within the past five years. Only 11% earned above £20,000 as their minimum income.

For self-employed respondents (n=333), 87% of whom are artists and 30% workshop facilitators, and for more than half (53%) the visual arts is their main source of income, and 63% of whom work up to or more than 35 hours per week in the visual arts: 64% earned a minimum of less than £5000 and for 84% their minimum income was less than £10,000. Only 5% of self-employed respondents took home more than £20,000 from their visual arts work alone in their leanest year of the past five years.

Figure 11: Over the last 5 years what is the minimum level of income that you’ve made in a tax year (before tax) from your visual arts work alone? (%)

Supplementing personal income

We know from existing research (from Scottish Artists Union 2014, Creative and Cultural Skills 2012) that people in the sector often supplement their income in order to make a living wage. Of the 680 people who answered this survey, 42% (284 people) said that they supplement their visual arts income with outside sources.
More than half of this respondent group work additional jobs for 14 hours per week or less, representing up to two days a week or 0.4FTE outside the sector. However almost a fifth of the respondents in this group report working full-time in supplementary jobs (up to 35 hours per week, or more), and a third work 4 days a week or more.

**Figure 12:** If you work outside of the visual arts to supplement your income, how many hours per week do you estimate this to be? (%)(n284)

Respondents were asked to indicate the nature of this other work, and to specify if it comprises Casual or Shift work or a Second Role in the Arts outwith the visual arts sector. Of the 289 respondents, 28% undertake Casual or Shift work to supplement their visual arts income and 18% work in another role in the Arts.

The majority of people who responded cited ‘Other’ forms of work for supplementary income (54%).

From a sample of 98 respondents who gave information on ‘Other’ work, this included digital and graphic design, technology, academic and teaching roles, and alternate freelance businesses, for instance as a wedding photographer, renting property and rooms, and in one case “whatever comes along”.

A significant number of people from this group also reported relying on spouses’ income (11 respondents) or family loans and support (6 respondents), personal savings and inheritance (2 respondents) to support their practice in the sector and offset their low incomes.

**Proportion of total income gained from visual arts work**

Of the 534 respondents who provided information about their income, a total of 45% (239 people) stated that their entire income comes from their visual arts work. Another 9% said that up to 95% of their total income comes from visual arts. This means that more than half of this sample is almost totally reliant on income from visual arts work.

**Figure 13:** Proportion of respondents’ total income that comes from visual arts work(n534) (%)
Average income from all work

Respondents representing the full sector (n=534) each provided a total figure for how much they earn in average a year from all of the work that they do, both primary and supplementary jobs inside and outside the visual arts sector. For artists, this includes any sales of artwork.

The average (mean) total income across the 534 respondents is £17,256.

For Self-employed respondents (n=333) the average annual income figure is £14,933

For respondents who identify as Artists (n=381) the average income is £14,668

These two groups coincide strongly, hence the consistency on the average figures.

For people within the first five years of their career (n=153), the average is £13,125

This rises to an average of £17,252 across respondents who have worked in the sector for 6-15 years (n=212)

And for people with 16 years or more experience in the sector (n=177), the average income is £20,930.

Most important sources of income from visual arts

Figure 21 below shows prominent sources of income in order of the importance afforded to them by the 517 respondents who individually ranked the forms of income they most rely on.

- Salaried work comes out clearly as the most important source of income for the most respondents – 441 of them, representing 85% of the sample for this question – and with a rating average of 1.54 (where 1 signifies the most important).
- Sales of artwork/royalties ranks second, with a rating average of 3.00 through 417 selections.
- Fees (for instance from exhibitions or residency) were considered important by 389 respondents.
- Commissions was selected 411 times but with a slightly lower rating, averaging at 3.43.
- Grants and income from workshops were set in the middle ranking overall respondents, selected by 396 and 378 respondents respectively with average ratings of 3.25 and 3.41.
- Consulting achieved the lowest ranking overall with the least people selecting this; though it was selected by 375 of the 517 respondents.

Figure 14: Income sources most relied on by respondents, as indicated by individual ranking (n=517)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
<th>Response Count</th>
<th>Selected by Percentage of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaried Work</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Artwork / Royalties</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees (i.e. from exhibitions, residency)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissions</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(None of the above)</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Role of Local Authorities in funding work

Work supported by local authorities makes up a significant proportion of paid work: 39% state that some of the work they do is funded by a local authority. However the majority of respondents state that none of the work they do is funded by a local authority.

According to those whose work is sometimes funded by a local authority (n227), the majority occurs through freelance commissions and projects (41%), followed by permanent and fixed term salaried posts (33%), learning/engagement activities (21%), community workshops (21%), and public art commissions (20%).

‘Other’ forms of funded support from local authorities are recorded by individual respondents as the in-kind use of a venue, and awards and grants.

Applying for public funding in the visual arts sector

The Scottish Artists Union highlights a lack of engagement by the visual arts sector with sources of public funding to develop or produce their work. In 2014 less than half of their membership (41%) had successfully applied for a public funding award and the same percentage (41%) had **never applied**. Almost one fifth (17%) had applied without success (n422)\(^5\).

Of the respondents in this survey who provided information about their grant applications (n546): the majority (40%) have not applied for public funds in the last five years. Just under a fifth more (19%) have only applied once for public funding in this period.

Of the remainder of the sample, a third of respondents (34%) have made up to 5 applications in five years, and only a small minority report regularly and routinely applying for public funding, with 4% applying up to 10 times in five years and 3% more than 10 times.

This data suggests that the visual arts sector is not reliant on making regular grant applications, and reinforces the SAU’s findings.

Figure 15: Applications made for public funds in the last 5 years (n546)

\(^{5}\)SAU Membership Survey 2014
Of the respondents in this survey who applied for public funding (n339), a significant proportion had been unsuccessful in all of their efforts to gain public funding (29%). At the other end of the scale, almost a quarter of this sample had been successful in all their applications (24%). The majority of respondents who have applied for public funding had mixed success, gaining funds between 25% and 75% of the time.

**How public funds can support visual arts work**

Respondents were asked to identify the aspects of their work for which public funding is most valuable, selecting all that apply.

A total of 534 people provided insights on this, resulting in the data presented below. The most valued support is for Making or Producing Artwork (selected by 61% of the respondents on this question), followed by Research and Development (49%). Exhibitions of Artwork (45%) and Exhibition Making/Curatorial Projects (39%) together represent a substantial area of work aided by public funds. Residency is another important form of support selected by 45% of the sample, along with International Work (42%). Training and Professional Development is also seen as reliant on public funding (36%).

**Figure 16: Aspects of respondents’ work most helpfully supported by public funding (n534)**
**Operating costs for freelance and independent visual arts workers**

To complete the picture on how individuals in the sector finance their work, we asked about the annual operating expenses that come out of workers’ income or from their grant support, covering studios and premises, materials and equipment, facilities, business services, training and professional development, promotional costs etc.

Of the 517 people who have provided figures for their estimated annual operating expenses, 16% cite *nil* costs or that this is *not applicable* to their circumstances. Another 16% spend less than £1,000 for operating costs. We know from this survey that 63% of respondents work from home studios or workspaces, which is a likely factor in minimising costs.

However the largest proportion (42%) of the sample expends £1,000–£5,000 each year as part of their work or practice. Another fifth (19%) pay out between £5,000 and £10,000 annually.

These figures are significant given the finding from this survey that the average annual total income for respondents is £17,256, dipping to an average of £13,125 for those starting out in the sector.

**Figure 17: Estimated annual operating expenses of respondents who work independently or freelance (n517)**

![Pie chart showing operating costs distribution](chart.png)
Figure 18 below shows how this expenditure most commonly breaks down, with Materials and Equipment registering as a universal expense (cited by 94% of the 437 respondents on this issue).

Travel or transport is another common expense, highlighted by 77% of the respondents, above Studio or premises which is a significant cost for 61% of the respondents.

Promotion and Marketing and Memberships are each picked out by more than half of the sample as common expenses.

Payment to Others is cited by 37% of the respondents, showing that the visual arts sector supports a variety of peer and ancillary services.

Figure 18: Respondents’ annual operating expenditure (n437)

Materials or Equipment
Travel or Transport
Studio or premises
Memberships
Promotional and Marketing (Including website)
Access to Facilities
Payment to others
Business Services (accountancy, legal, marketing, phones etc.)
Training or Professional Development
Storage
Other (please specify)

‘Other’ expenses recorded by respondents include Exhibition Fees, Licensee Fees, Application fees, Venue Hire and Childcare.
Indicators of financial vulnerability of workers in the sector

Welfare support

Respondents were asked if they receive any welfare support.

The majority (72%) of the 543 respondents on this issue report that they receive none of the welfare benefits listed below. Working Tax Credit and Child Tax Credit are the most common benefits accessed by the respondents in this survey, cited by 15% and 12% respectively.

A small proportion of respondents receive Working Families Tax Credit (5%) and Housing Benefit (4%). Only eight respondents (1.5%) record receipt of Employment and Support Allowance and four (0.7%) of Job Seekers Allowance.

Around 3% of the respondents receive Disability Living Allowance.

Figure 19: Levels of receipt of welfare benefits (n=543)

‘Other’ benefits reported include State Pension (3% of the total respondents for this survey stated that they are retired), Carers Allowance, and Council Tax Benefit.
Pension arrangements

Only 42% of respondents have further pension provision arrangements in place beyond expectations of a statutory state pension, 55% through an employer and 19% through independent schemes, and 14% through a combination.

Figure 20: Beyond a state pension, do respondents' have any further pension provision? (n610)

![Pie chart showing 42% Yes, 56% No, and 2% Don't Know.]

Figure 21: Those that had further pension provision (n276)

![Pie chart showing 55% Through a permanent employer's scheme, 19% My own independent pension scheme, 12% A combination, and 14% Other.]
Only 9% of respondents who commented on pension provision (n601) are confident that any pensions they are entitled to will provide them with an adequate income when they retire. The majority (73%) of respondents are not confident on this question, and a further 18% ‘don’t know’ what their status will be.

**Figure 22: Are respondents confident that any pension/s they are entitled to will provide an adequate income when they retire? (n601)**

- 73% No
- 18% Yes
- 9% Don’t know

The non-permanent working conditions commonly experienced in the sector hinder people working in the visual arts from building up long-term, independent pension provision. That a large proportion of the sector is self-employed (61% of the respondents in this survey) is also likely to be a contributing factor.
4. The Visual Arts Workforce in Scotland
According to statistics published by Creative & Cultural Skills for the UK:

- 95% of people working in the UK visual arts sector are white⁶
- 46% of the UK visual arts workforce are female and 54% are male⁷

People working in the sector tend to be highly qualified: 38% have first degrees and a further 19% have a postgraduate degree or diploma⁸

The respondents in this survey reflect the following profile for the visual arts sector in Scotland.

**Gender**

Just over two-thirds of the respondents in this survey are female, and just under one third are male.

**Figure 23: Gender of respondents (n463)**

- Female: 68%
- Male: 30%
- Prefer not to say: 2%

**Age range in the sector**

People of different ages and career stages are represented in this dataset. More than half of the respondents in this survey are aged between 30–49 (52%); a quarter (24%) is 50 years old or over, and 12% are aged under 30.

---

⁶ Visual Arts Blueprint 2009 Creative & Cultural Skills
⁸ Visual Arts Blueprint 2009 Creative & Cultural Skills
Ethnic diversity

The respondents in this survey reflect a visual arts sector that is predominantly White (a total of 92%), with the highest number of respondents identifying as White Scottish and White Other British (78% of total).

Other ethnic groups are represented in single digits, comprising less than 2% of the respondents. A proportion of respondents (4%) prefer not to state their ethnic background. ‘Other’ ethnic identities recorded by respondents are 'White Northern Irish', 'White Italian' and ‘Jewish’.

Figure 25: Ethnic background of respondents (n464)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White Scottish</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Other British</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White – other white ethnic group</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to answer</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Irish</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed or multiple ethnic groups</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Gypsy / Traveller</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean, Caribbean Scottish or Caribbean British</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab, Arab Scottish or Arab British</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Polish</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese, Chinese Scottish or Chinese British</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani, Pakistani Scottish or Pakistani British</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian, Indian Scottish or Indian British</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladeshi, Bangladeshi Scottish or Bangladeshi British</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African, African Scottish or African British</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Black Scottish or Black British</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reasons for basing work or practice in Scotland

As indicated above, a large proportion of the respondents in this survey identify as other than ‘White Scottish’, including 30% identifying as White Other British and White Irish and a further 10% identifying as Other White Ethnic Groups which includes European nationalities.

As a means to explore what attracts people to base their visual arts work in Scotland, respondents were asked which of the following statements best applies to their circumstances.

The largest group of respondents reported that they grew up in Scotland and have remained working in the country (45%). Significant numbers among the respondents came to Scotland to study and stayed to work here, including their visual arts work (18%). A further 8% came to Scotland specifically for work, and 17% are located in Scotland for a variety of personal reasons.

An eighth of the sample (12%) cited other circumstances not listed below, commonly having grown up in Scotland, spent some time away then returned, or living only part of the time in Scotland.

Figure 26: Which of the following statements best applies to respondents (n669)

- 45% I grew up in Scotland and have stayed
- 18% I came to Scotland to work
- 17% I came to Scotland to study and stayed
- 8% I moved to Scotland for personal reasons
- 12% Other (please specify)
Training and education levels

The dataset for this survey reinforces existing evidence of a highly qualified visual arts sector. Only 5% of the 516 respondents on this issue reported having had no formal training.

Respondents were able to mark as many options as applied, showing how training and education ‘layers’ up in the sector.

- 30% of respondents have completed a Foundation Course in Fine Art/Design
- 63% have an Undergraduate Degree in Fine Art/Design and 19% have an undergraduate degree in another topic
- 31% of respondents have a Post-Graduate Masters in Fine Art/Design and 17% have a Post-Graduate Masters in another topic
- 5% of respondents achieved a PhD in Fine Art/Design and 4% are PhDs in another topic
- 24% of respondents have also studied part-time or undertaken short courses.

Figure 27: Respondents’ level of training or education (n=516)
5. Development Support for the Sector
Useful professional development accessed by respondents

In the past five years respondents have undertaken the following professional development activities, presented in order of precedence:

Figure 28: Professional Development Activities undertaken by respondents in the last 5 years (n497)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional Development Activity</th>
<th>Number of Responses *</th>
<th>Cited by % of respondents (n497)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative Work</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residencies</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills Sharing</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical/Creative Skills Training</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer to Peer Mentoring</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Skills Training</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Arts Sector Business Support Training</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Course</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience / Informal Apprenticeship</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership training</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal apprenticeship</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Respondents had an option of saying that a category was not applicable; the figures presented here reflect the number of people who have accessed each activity.

Respondents also ranked these activities in terms of the impact and benefits they gained for their work: no benefit, limited benefit, some benefit, very beneficial, extremely beneficial. The results are shown in the diagram overleaf:

Research is seen as the most beneficial development activity, scoring very beneficial or extremely beneficial 263 times out of 314 responses, or 83% of the time. The next most beneficial activity is Residencies (81% of responses deeming it very or extremely beneficial) and then Collaborative work (77%). Peer to Peer Mentoring and Skills Sharing are also ranked as highly beneficial (74% and 71% respectively).

In terms of low benefit, 16 out of 31 responses (52%) deemed Formal Apprenticeship of limited or no benefit. Leadership training also scored poorly, with 23 out of 79 responses (29%) ranking it of limited or no benefit.
**Figure 29: Benefit of Professional Development Activities undertaken by respondents in the last 5 years (n497)**

- Research Residencies
- Collaborative Work
- Peer to peer mentoring
- Skills Sharing
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- Visual Arts Sector / Business Support
- Business Skills Training

Legend:
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Figure 30: Data analysis on benefits and impact of Professional Development activities (n497)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>No benefit</th>
<th>Limited benefit</th>
<th>Some benefit</th>
<th>Very beneficial</th>
<th>Extremely beneficial</th>
<th>Combined scores (very/extremely)</th>
<th>Actual response count (not including N/As)</th>
<th>% of Responses deemed very or extremely beneficial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residencies</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative Work</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer to peer mentoring</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills Sharing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical / Creative Skills Training</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience / Informal Apprenticeship</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Course</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Training</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Apprenticeship</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Arts Sector / Business Support</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Skills Training</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data below shows that the majority of professional development activity in the sector is self-funded by respondents.

**Figure 31: Proportion of activity funded and self-funded (n482)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
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<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Most useful professional development support at present career stage**

Respondents were asked to select three options from the list of professional development activities that they consider to be most helpful at their present career stage.

Overall, across all sector groups represented in the survey, respondents thought the following most useful at the present point in their career: Residencies (45% of responses); Research (42%); Collaborative Work (36%); Peer to Peer Mentoring (32%); Technical and Creative Skills Training (26%) and Business Support (20%).

**Figure 32: Most useful professional development support at this time, by % of respondents (n515)**
Other activities were ranked as follows:

- Business skills training (19%)
- Leadership training (18%)
- Skills sharing (15%)
- Training course (9%)
- Work experience / informal apprenticeship (5%)
- Internship (4%)
- Formal apprenticeship (3%)

And 8% thought that *none of the above* would be helpful at this point in their career.

### Key professional development priorities by career stage

To contextualise the professional needs of the sector at particular stages, it is useful to extrapolate findings for groups of respondents considered at early, mid and advanced career stages. To do this, data has been collated for those who state that they have been working in the sector for:

- **0-5 years** – ‘early’ career
- **6-15 years** – ‘mid’ career
- **16 years+** – ‘advanced’ career

#### Figure 33: Most useful professional development support at this time, by career stage and % of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Early Career</th>
<th>Mid Career</th>
<th>Advanced Career</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (n145)</td>
<td>% (n208)</td>
<td>% (n166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residencies</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative Work</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer to Peer Mentoring</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical / Creative Skills Training</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Support</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Skills Training</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Training</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills Sharing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Course</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Experience / Informal Apprenticeship</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Apprenticeship</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Professional development priorities for early career respondents

People who are less than five years into their career in the visual arts value Residencies above all other activities compared with people at more advanced career stages.

Peer to Peer Mentoring also stands out as a priority activity for this group (favoured by a larger proportion of early and mid-career respondents than those more advanced in their careers.

Collaborative Work seems an equal priority for early and mid-career respondents, 39% of both groups selecting this option.

Understandably, Formal apprenticeships, Internships and Work experience / informal apprenticeships are all more important for early career respondents than the mid and advanced career groups, though they score below 10% in terms of selection frequency.

Additional comments provided by this group include ‘funding or stable paid work’, ‘links to museums/curators/public art’, ‘a public commission’, and ‘[activities] to help me promote myself and expand’.

Professional development priorities for mid-career respondents

As the chart below demonstrates, for respondents 6-15 years into their careers in the visual arts there are a number of priorities that seem markedly more important to this group than those early and advanced in their careers:

- Collaborative work
- Research
- Business skills training
- Technical / creative skills training
- Leadership training

Residencies are also strongly valued by this group.

Professional development priorities for advanced career respondents

As can be seen below, respondents who have been working in the sector for 16 or more years (half of whom have been working for more than 25 years), do not favour any activity more than those early and midway in their careers, though the following professional development activities are clear priorities for this group:

In order of preference

- Research
- Residencies
- Collaborative work
- Peer to peer mentoring
- Technical/creative skills training.

Additional comments provided by this group include ‘further study’, ‘sales development’ and ‘use of social media’, ‘spin off business development’ and ‘[opportunities] to shift into another area [like] creative writing’.
Figure 34: Professional development priorities for respondents at early, mid and advanced career stages
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The most important enabling factor in my career:

Insights from early career respondents

Of the 195 respondents who stated that they had been working in the sector for 0–5 years, 109 provided insights on the most important factor that has enabled them to develop their work in the sector:

Funding

“Funding support”
“Etsy and Creative Scotland”

Opportunities

“So far I would say residency, studio space and practice in writing proposals.”
“Opportunities offered by community art organisations”
“Many venues have provided me with in-kind grants for use of space - as in- I have not had to pay to curate and host exhibitions.”
“Hard work and internship”
“Business skills learnt from the cultural enterprises office in Edinburgh”

Community

“There is more enthusiasm for original art in Scotland than elsewhere in the UK”
“Support from Peers”
“Aesthetic contacts”
“Collaborative practice: a willingness and commitment to share resources, skills, ideas and physical space”
“Supportive network of professionals”
“Peer group and guidance from local institutions and informal ‘mentoring”

Family/ individual

“Creative freedom and having savings”

Creative Scotland

Getting opportunities, right after I graduated, gave me the exposure and support I needed to continue

My qualifications

Generous, inclusive arts networks

A DIY culture of making stuff happen

Having a supportive family and network around me

Self belief and an uninspiring paid job

My own networking skills and perseverance
The most important enabling factor in my career: Insights from mid-career respondents
(from a total of 168 personal responses)

**Funding**

“Creative Scotland artists bursaries enabling me to really challenge and develop my practice without any pre-determined outcome”

**Opportunities**

**Residency**

“Funding and support from academic institutions, without that I would not be able to continue to work in the visual arts”

“A paid internship that I was awarded early in my career. This allowed an insight into the ways in which institutions in Scotland worked.”

“Attending biennale and international art events”

**Community**

“Getting involved in an artist-led organisation”

“The vivid art scene in Glasgow”

“Supportive studio facilities and peer support”

“The most important factor has been meeting people through training and mentoring schemes (that have been mainly voluntary when I was a recent graduate)”

“Low cost, communal studio space and peer networks”

“Friendships and social networks”

**Family/ individual**

“Partner encouragement and paying most of domestic bills”

“My own talent”

“Support from my organisation”

“Time (post paid work) and ability”

“Determination and the need to make art”

“Private collectors buying my work and therefore enabling me to finance more critical contemporary art projects.”
The most important enabling factor in my career: Insights from advanced career respondents
(from a total of 142 personal responses)

Funding
“Support from Creative Scotland over the duration of my career”
“Research funding”
“Tax Credits. I could not have continued working in the last 15 years without the additional help toward childcare costs. Without childcare I could not have worked.”
“Local authority support”
“Receiving support from Creative Scotland financially and emotionally”
“The Own Art scheme”

Opportunities
“Access to a studio.”
Early involvement with festivals and performance art in Scotland which opened up new possibilities for work.

Community
“Peer encouragement”
“Networks”
“Partnership working”
“The generosity and social interconnectedness of the visual arts community”
“The quality of work produced in Scotland, it’s size and having a subsidised studio for the first years of my career”

Family/individual
“Personal resilience and determination”
“Part-time flexible employment”
“Financial support from family”
“Belief and interest in visual arts and their value”
“Self determination, self belief and support from my spouse”
“Members of the public buying [the work]”

Insights from advanced career respondents (from a total of 142 personal responses)

Funding
Arts Council Funding in the early years
Support given to me as a young artist

Opportunities
Having my own money to go on courses

Community
Vibrant visual arts culture in Scotland

Family/individual
A wide skill set which has allowed me to earn money in parallel industries to sustain
6. Engaging People with the Visual Arts
Reach of respondents’ work
When asked to define the reach of their work in one of the following categories, more than half of the 515 people who answered this question indicated a reach beyond Scotland, either UK-wide (25%) or internationally (30%).

Around a fifth of the respondents describe their work as having a Scotland-wide impact. The remaining quarter identified their work at a more localised level, as having regional impact (11%) or local impact (14%).

Figure 35: How respondents describe the overall reach of the work that they are involved in (n515)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reach of Work</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK wide</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland wide</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the 156 respondents working internationally, the biggest focus was the USA, Canada, Germany, France and Italy. A more than 50 countries were listed across Europe, North America, Asia, South America, the Indian Sub-Continent, Australasia and Africa, demonstrating a truly global reach. Specifically:

- **Africa**: Ethiopia, Mozambique, Nigeria, South Africa, Zambia
- **Asia and India**: China, Hong Kong, India, Japan, Korea, Singapore, Sri Lanka, Taiwan
- **Central and South America**: Argentina, Barbados, Brazil, Chile, Peru, Puerto Rico, Venezuela
- **Middle and Near East**: Azerbaijan, Qatar, United Arab Emirates
- **Europe**: Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Faroe Islands, Finland, France, Greece, Germany, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey
- **Australasia**: Australia, New Zealand
- **North America**: United States of America, Canada, Mexico

Priority audiences for respondents
On the understanding that most artists and professionals would like their work to reach the widest range and largest number of people, respondents were asked to indicate, beyond a general audience, if they had any specific groups of people they would like their work to be seen by. These were ranked in order of importance with ‘1’ being most important.

Priority and specific audiences engaged by respondents were ranked as follows across the whole group of 494 people who provided information on this issue.
Figure 36: Priority and specific audiences ranked in importance by respondents, where 1 is most important. Presented by Average rating (n494)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority and Specific Audiences</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
<th>Percentage of Respondents Ranked 1 or 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peers / Other Artists / Arts Professionals</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local / Geographic Communities</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Audiences</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buyers</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collectors</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academics</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press and Media</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culturally Diverse Communities</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools or Formal Education / Harder to Reach Communities or Groups</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Social Care Communities</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: the lower the average rating, the greater the importance to respondents)
Main channels for work

The main channels for respondents’ work are depicted below. *Exhibitions and Gallery Talks* emerge as a significant channel for the majority of the 511 people who responded on this issue. *Online platforms* rank second in importance to respondents, followed by Festivals, Workshops, and Commercial Galleries all of which are relevant to around a third of the respondent group.

Other common channels highlighted by individual respondents in their comments include *open studio events, word of mouth and activities associated with a local authority gallery or community organisation*.

**Figure 37: What are the main distribution channels for your work?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exhibitions and Gallery Talks</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online platforms</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festivals</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Galleries</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Art</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Museums</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Projects</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference or Seminars</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screenings</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Fairs</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most effective means of public engagement

Respondents were asked to list what they see as the most effective ways in which the public has engaged or become involved with their visual arts work during the last 5 years.

Based on 508 responses, top of the list is *Through Exhibitions and Artwork (including screenings and performances)*, selected by 83% of the respondent group. The other most significant forms of engagement occur *Online* and through *Workshops/Talks/Activity programmes*.
Use of social media and online platforms

People working in the visual arts sector use a range of online platforms including social media in their work, and to promote and disseminate their work. Respondents were asked to rank these in order of their effectiveness.

In order of precedence, the most effective social media and online platforms used by the respondents in this survey are:

- Respondents’ own websites
- Respondents’ own Facebook profiles
- Venue or agency-controlled Facebook
- Twitter
- Instagram
- Linked In
- Blog (respondent’s own or others’)
- Vimeo
- Youtube
- Tumblr.

Another direction of possible interest to view this issue is via the number of respondents who deem certain social media and online platforms ‘not applicable’ in their work. Figure 39 below shows the percentage of the dataset who don’t engage with these tools in a professional context.

Figure 39: Social media and websites used by respondents (n505)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>My own website</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My own Facebook profile</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue or Agency controlled Facebook</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linked In</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blog (your own or others’)</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimeo</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instagram</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google+</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youtube</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinterest</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumblr</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flikr</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other means of public engagement highlighted by respondents as effective include socially engaged projects, through Festivals and Open studio events.
7. How the Sector Interconnects and Functions
Contexts in which people work
The respondents in the survey work in a range of contexts and settings, as shown in the Table below. The most common contexts identified in this survey are:

- Commercial Galleries (49% of respondents)
- Artist-led spaces (44%)
- Arts and Heritage Organisations (38%).

Festivals, Commercial Galleries and Studio/workshop provision are also significant (each around 30% of the sample).

Importance of partnership working
Respondents were asked to indicate how important partnership working or collaboration is in their visual arts work. On a scale where 5 is highest and 1 is lowest, the overall score averaged across all respondents (n=487) is 3.58.

A total of 85 people (17% of the sample) stated that partnership or collaborative working is of no or limited importance in their work. The majority of respondents (56%) rated it as very or extremely important.

Figure 40: How important to you is working in partnership or collaboration?

A sense of the sector ecology
This report offers initial analysis of the dataset which is rich in detail and may be separated out into discreet data strands to enable deeper insights into the specificities of different parts of the sector. The scale of the dataset, together with the range of ‘identifiers’ built into the survey, offers the potential for further analysis. In addition the surveys have collected substantial qualitative data with significant scope for content analysis beyond the capacity of this report.

Within the scope of this overview report, important connections and support structures have been reported for common grouped roles in the sector; for Artists, for Curators, Gallerists, Producers and Directors and for Workshop facilitators or tutors and learning specialists.

Important connections for Artists
- The most important connections recorded by Artists are (in order of importance): other Artists, Curators, Gallerists, Academics or Lecturers, Workshop Facilitators or Tutors and Technicians.

- The most important organisations and services for Artists, in order of importance, are: Artist-led spaces, Publicly-funded Galleries, Commercial galleries, Residency centres, Festivals, Studio/Workshop providers and Third sector/community focussed organisations.
Important connections for Curators, Gallerists, Producers and Directors

- The most important connections for curators, gallerists, producers and directors, in order of importance, are: artists, curators, producers, gallerists, technicians, learning and engagement specialists, directors or CEOs, and local authority officers.

- The most important organisations and services for curators, gallerists, producers and directors, in order of importance, are: artist-led spaces, publicly funded galleries, festivals, arts & heritage organisations, residency centres and commercial galleries.

Important connections for Workshop facilitators and Learning specialists

- The most important connections for workshop facilitators or tutors, and learning and engagement specialists, in order of importance, are: artists, curators, learning and engagement specialists, workshop facilitators or tutors, gallerists, producers and local authority officers.

- The most important organisations and services for workshop facilitators or tutors, and learning and engagement specialists, in order of importance, are: artist-led spaces, publicly funded galleries, arts & heritage organisations, residency centres, commercial galleries, third sector/community focussed organisations, festivals, and studios/workshop providers.

Variations between the three groups

Figure 41: Most important connections, by sector group
(lowest score equals highest importance)
This chart shows that there is minimal variation between how the different professions presented here value key connections in the sector. Artists and curators are the most important for all three groups, closely followed by gallerists. It can be seen that, across the board, all types of connection are more important to artists than other professions.

Community workers are slightly more important to workshop facilitators and learning specialists, as are workshop facilitators or tutors and learning and engagement specialists.

As before, there are minimal grades of variation between the sector groups profiled here. Artist-led spaces and Publicly-funded galleries are the important features in the visual arts landscape for each of the groups, with Festivals and Arts & Heritage organisations also consistently valued.

Residency centres and Commercial galleries are also ranked highly by all groups.

The top five organisations and services appear to be slightly more important to Artists than to the other groups.
Value and usage of networks

The most effective means of finding out what is happening in the sector and sharing good practice in respondents’ fields are:

In order of effectiveness
- Online (cited by 78% of respondents)
- Informal peer to peer communication (74%)
- Gallery or public venue events (61%)

Figure 43: What are the most effective means for you to find out about what is happening in the sector or share good practice in your field?

One in four respondents: use networks and membership organisations to keep up with sector developments and practice (44%); get information from journals and magazines (43%); and attend conferences and seminars (42%).

One in three respondents: value research trips outside the UK (35%), artist collectives (34%) and studio visits (34%) as effective ways of sharing good practice and keeping abreast of sector developments.
Engagement with formal networks

Although networks and membership organisations are ranked relatively low by respondents as effective platforms for sharing, the data below shows that people working in the sector engage strongly with them.

All but 13% of the respondents who provided information on this issue engage at least annually with formal networks or membership organisations, with 9% respondents engaging weekly and the same proportion again engaging on a monthly basis. Almost half of respondents (48%) engage several times a year.

Figure 44: How often respondents engage with formal networks or membership organisations (n482)

Engagement with informal networks

Respondents engage far more frequently with their informal networks (consistent with the high ranking of Informal Peer to Peer communication as a popular way to stay connected).

The results show that a third of respondents (33%) engage weekly with their informal networks, and another 18% engage monthly. A total of 38% respondents connects several times a year with informal networks, with only 3% engaging once a year and 8% never.

Figure 45: How often respondents engage with informal networks (n481)